

REED SMITH LLP
A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

1 Michael K. Brown (SBN 104252)
Email: mkbrown@reedsmith.com
2 Reed Smith LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
3 Suite 2900
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1514
4 Telephone: +1 213 457 8000
Facsimile: +1 213 457 8080

5 Sonja S. Weissman (SBN 154320)
6 Email: sweissman@reedsmith.com
Steven J. Boranian (SBN 174183)
7 Email: sboranian@reedsmith.com
Reed Smith LLP
8 101 Second Street
Suite 1800
9 San Francisco, CA 94105-3659
Telephone: +1 415 543 8700
10 Facsimile: +1 415 391 8269

11 Attorneys for Defendants
GlaxoSmithKline LLC (formerly known as
12 SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a
GlaxoSmithKline) and McKesson Corporation

13
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 SHUKRY MESSIH, et al.,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 vs.

19 MCKESSON CORPORATION, et al.,

20 Defendants.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 3:13-cv-03155-SI

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER
COMPLAINT**

Honorable Susan Illston

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Plaintiffs in this action, Defendant GlaxoSmithKline LLC, (f/k/a SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline) (“GSK”) and Defendant McKesson Corporation (“McKesson”), hereby submit, through their undersigned counsel of record, the following Stipulation and accompanying [Proposed] Order.

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 81(c)(2), Defendants GSK, and McKesson (collectively, “Defendants”) have not yet answered the Plaintiffs’ Complaint prior to removal of this case from San Francisco Superior Court on July 9, 2013. Defendants have 21 days after “receiving--through service or otherwise--a copy of the initial pleading stating the claim for relief” and therefore have 21 days to Answer Plaintiffs’ Complaint. McKesson was served with Plaintiffs’ Complaint on July 1, 2013. GSK has not been served with Plaintiffs’ Complaint. GSK intends to file a Motion to Stay. Plaintiffs intend to file a Motion to Remand. (*See* Declaration of Steven J. Boranian (“Borianian Decl.”) in Support of Joint Stipulation Extending Defendants’ time to Answer Complaint ¶ 5)

WHEREAS, the parties, having met and conferred, pursuant to Civil L.R 6-3, jointly stipulate to and respectfully request that this Court extend the time for Defendants to Answer the Complaint until 30 days after entry of an order on Plaintiffs’ Motion to Remand. (*See* Boranian Decl. ¶ 6)

WHEREAS, the parties agree that good cause exists for the requested extension of time in order to serve the interests of judicial economy, efficiency, and fairness. This action will either be remanded to the Superior Court of San Francisco or transferred to the Avandia MDL. Therefore, in order to conserve the resources of the Court and the parties, all parties agree that Defendants’ Answers should ultimately be filed in the San Francisco Superior Court or in the Avandia MDL. (*See* Boranian Decl. ¶ 7)

WHEREAS, no party to this case has previously requested any time modifications in this action. (*See* Boranian Decl. ¶ 8)

By the filing of this Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order, the Plaintiffs do not concede, and

1 Defendant will not argue, that the federal courts have subject matter jurisdiction over this action
2 because of the submission of this Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order.

3 WHEREAS, the requested relief would not cause any undue hardship, delay, or prejudice to
4 either party or the Court and is warranted by the circumstances in this case. (*See* Boranian Decl. ¶ 9)

5
6 DATED: July 18, 2013

7 REED SMITH LLP
8 Michael K. Brown
9 Sonja S. Weissman
10 Steven J. Boranian

11 By: /s/ Steven J. Boranian

12 Steven J. Boranian
13 Attorneys for Defendants
14 GlaxoSmithKline LLC (formerly known as
15 SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a
16 GlaxoSmithKline) and McKesson Corporation

17
18 DATED: July 18, 2013

19 NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHOLNIK &
20 ASSOCIATES LLP

21 By: /s/ Marissa Langhoff

22 Marissa Langhoff
23 Hunter J. Shkolnik
24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
25
26
27
28

FILER'S ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), the undersigned attests that all signatories have concurred in the filing of this Joint Stipulation And [Proposed] Order Extending Time for Defendants to Answer Plaintiffs' Complaint.

DATED: July 18, 2013

REED SMITH LLP
Michael K. Brown
Sonja S. Weissman
Steven J. Boranian

By: /s/ Steven J. Boranian
Steven J. Boranian
Attorneys for Defendants
GlaxoSmithKline LLC (formerly known as
SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a
GlaxoSmithKline) and McKesson Corporation

REED SMITH LLP
A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 7/19/13



Honorable Susan Illston

REED SMITH LLP
A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

Michael K. Brown (SBN 104252)
Email: mkbrown@reedsmith.com
Reed Smith LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Suite 2900
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1514
Telephone: +1 213 457 8000
Facsimile: +1 213 457 8080

Sonja S. Weissman (SBN 154320)
Email: sweissman@reedsmith.com
Steven J. Boranian (SBN 174183)
Email: sboranian@reedsmith.com
Reed Smith LLP
101 Second Street
Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94105-3659
Telephone: +1 415 543 8700
Facsimile: +1 415 391 8269

Attorneys for Defendants
GlaxoSmithKline LLC (formerly known as
SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a
GlaxoSmithKline) and McKesson Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHUKRY MESSIH, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MCKESSON CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:13-cv-03155-SI

**DECLARATION OF STEVEN J.
BORANIAN IN SUPPORT OF JOINT
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER
COMPLAINT**

Honorable Susan Illston

1 I, Steven J. Boranian, declare:

2 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the State of California and a partner
3 at Reed Smith LLP, counsel for defendant GlaxoSmithKline LLC (“GSK”) and McKesson
4 Corporation (“McKesson”). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and
5 for those matters for which I do not have personal knowledge, I am informed and believe they are
6 true. I could and would testify to these matters if called as a witness.

7 2. I submit this Declaration in support of a joint request to extend the time for
8 Defendants to Answer the Complaint until 30 days after entry of an order on a Motion to Remand,
9 this action which has been removed by GSK into federal court.

10 3. Pursuant to Rule 7.5(c) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on
11 Multidistrict Litigation (“R.P.J.P.M.D.L.”), GSK provided notice to the JPML of the pendency of
12 this “tag-along” action on July 16, 2013, so that the process to transfer to the Avandia MDL might
13 occur

14 4. GSK anticipates that the JPML will soon issue a Conditional Transfer Order,
15 conditionally transferring this case to the Avandia MDL.

16 5. Prior to GSK’s removal of this action on July 9, 2013, GSK had not been served with
17 the Complaint. Defendant McKesson was served with the Complaint on July 1, 2013. GSK and
18 McKesson (collectively, “Defendants”) have not yet answered the Complaint. GSK intends to file a
19 Motion to Stay. Plaintiffs intend to file a Motion to Remand.

20 6. All parties, having met and conferred, pursuant to Civil L.R 6-3, jointly stipulate to
21 and respectfully request that this Court extend the time for Defendants to Answer the Complaint
22 until 30 days after entry of an order on Plaintiffs’ Motion to Remand.

23 7. The parties agree that good cause exists for the requested extension of time in order to
24 serve the interests of judicial economy, efficiency, and fairness. GSK anticipates that the JPML will
25 soon issue a Conditional Transfer Order, conditionally transferring this case to the Avandia MDL,
26 which will be timely opposed by Plaintiffs. Therefore this action will either be remanded to the
27 Superior Court of San Francisco or transferred to the Avandia MDL. Therefore, in order to conserve
28

1 the resources of the Court and the parties, all parties agree that the Defendants' Answers should
2 ultimately be filed in the San Francisco Superior Court or the Avandia MDL.

3 8. No party to this case has previously requested any time modifications in this action.

4 9. Therefore, because this action is unlikely to remain before this Court, the requested
5 relief would not cause any undue hardship, delay, or prejudice to either party or the Court and is
6 warranted by the circumstances in this case.

7
8 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is
9 true and correct.

10 DATED: July 18, 2013

11 /s/ Steven J. Boranian
12 Steven J. Boranian
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

REED SMITH LLP
A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware